PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF ADAPTIVE NOISE CANCELLATION SCHEME USING ALE AND NLMS FILTERS

Muhammad Safder Shafi¹, Sahar Imtiaz¹, Rafay Iqbal Ansari¹, Mansoor Khan¹, Jafar Ramadhan Mohammed²

¹COMSATS Institute of Information Technology (CIIT), Islamabad, PAKISTAN

²College of Electronic Engineering, University of Mosul, Mosul, IRAQ

Email: safdershafi@yahoo.com, jafarram@yahoo.com

Abstract

The basic theme of our paper is to implement a new idea of noise reduction in the real time applications using the concepts of adaptive filters. Our model which is presented as one of the solutions is based on two stages of operation with the first stage based on the ALE (Adaptive Line Enhancer) filters and the second stage on NLMS (Normalized Least Mean Square) filter. The first stage reduces the sinusoidal noise from the input signal and the second stage reduces the wideband noise. Two input sources of voice are used; one for the normal speech and the other for the noise input, using separate microphones for both signals. The first signal is of the corrupted speech signal and the second signal is of only the noise containing both wideband and narrowband noise. In the first stage the narrowband noise is reduced by using the ALE technique. The second stage gets a signal with ideally only the wideband noise which is reduced using the NLMS technique. In both the stages the concerned algorithms are used to update the filter coefficients in such a way that the noise is cancelled out from the signal and a clean speech signal is heard at the output.

Keywords: ALE; NLMS; sinusoidal noise; wideband noise.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, effective communication is necessary to keep up with the fastdeveloping world. Effective voice communication is the most important part of it. In the prevailing environment, the noise corrupts the speech signal to such an extent, sometimes, that it is almost impossible to recover the original voice message communicated. That noise is usually given the name of background noise, which affects the intelligibility of the speech signal.

To cater the issue of noise effectively we need some new schemes for optimal noise cancellation. In the past, the noise cancellation schemes using two sensors have been proposed in [1], [2] and [3], introducing the concept that a noise source is acquired by the second sensor, which cancels out the unwanted noise from the signal acquired by the first sensor, by destructively interfering with it. Using the same concept, several schemes have been proposed till now, combining the basic adaptive filtering algorithms and implementing them. Work in this regard is still in progress. The two-sensor concept has been used in this paper as well.

A technique based on NLMS, also known as traditional Acoustic Noise Cancellation (ANC) scheme, yielded the cancellation of wideband noise from the corrupted speech signal but didn't address the issue of sinusoidal noise. In real-time environment, sinusoidal noise is added in the speech signal through different sources like ceiling fan, PC fan and engine noise. Sinusoidal noise is thus a significant part of the corrupted signal and its cancellation is vital for acquiring a clean speech signal.

ALE, an existing technique, gained popularity as it gave a better understanding

of the spectrum analysis and the behavior of noise in the spectral sense. It is used to cancel out the sinusoidal part of noise in an effective manner. Thus, using the ALE and NLMS filters collectively, the purpose of cancellation of both the wideband and sinusoidal noise is accomplished.

Therefore, a technique, combining the ALE and NLMS filters, proposed in [4] can be effectively implemented for various applications, like reduction of propellerinduced cabin noise during a flight [5], removal of sinusoidal noise in ECG analysis [6], acoustic noise cancellation in i-phone4 and removal of car-motor sound during journey by car [7].

The author in [4] provides an appreciable account of implementation of the proposed scheme, both in echoic and anechoic environment. The same proposed scheme is implemented on realtime basis in various environments which proves its effectiveness. The testing has been done repeatedly and the results have been analyzed and one of them is being presented in the following discussion. In this paper section II discusses the basic functioning of adaptive filters analyzed on the basis of mean square error. ALE and NLMS, the filters used in the scheme, are explained in section III; followed by simulation in real-time discussed in section IV. The simulation results are discussed in section V. The applications, as afore mentioned, are discussed in detail under section VI and the conclusion in section VII winds up the discussion of the paper.

II. ANALYSIS USING MEAN SQUARE ERROR

An important efficiency determining factor of an algorithm, particularly in adaptive filter, is its mean square error. The schematic of a simple adaptive filter is shown in figure 1, in which an input signal x(k) is multiplied by the filter coefficients w(k) to yield the output y(k). The error signal is obtained by subtracting the output signal of the filter

from the desired signal d(k). Generally, the objective is to minimize the error signal using effective weight adaptation by the filter, in order to ensure a minimum value of the mean of the squared error signal. The lesser the value of mean square error, the more efficient is the working of the adaptive filter.



Figure 1. Adaptive Filter

Mathematically, the relation between the input and the output in an adaptive filter is given by

$$y(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (w_m(k) x(k-m))$$

= $w^T x$ (1)

An error signal e(k) is termed as the difference between desired signal d(k) and the output of filtery(k).

$$e(k) = d(k) - y(k)$$
⁽²⁾

$$= d(k) - w^T x \tag{3}$$

The coefficients of Weiner filter are obtained by minimizing square function $E[e^2(k)]$ with respect to vector *w*.

$$E[e^{2}(k)] = E[(d(k) - w^{T}x)^{2}]$$
(4)

$$= E[d^{2}(k)] - 2w^{T}E[xd(k)] + w^{T}E[xx^{T}]w$$

= $r_{dd}(0) - 2w^{T}r_{dx} + w^{T}R_{xx}w$ (5)

In equation 5, r_dd is the correlation of the desired signal d(k), r_dx is the crosscorrelation of the input and the desired signal and R_xx is the correlation of the input signal x(k). We desire a minimum mean square error which would confirm the proper working of the system.

III. FILTERS USED IN THIS SCHEME (ALE&NLMS)

The operation of our proposed scheme is based on two stages; the first stage based on ALE cancels out the sinusoidal noise signal and the second stage uses NLMS to cancel wideband noise from the resultant of the first stage. Figure 2 shows a basic block diagram of the implemented scheme.



Figure 2.Basic model

The two stages in the basic model are explained below:

ALE or Adaptive Line Enhancer is a technique which is employed to cancel out the 'sinusoidal' noise from the input signal. This technique can be used with any of the adaptive filters classified till now and uses a delay in the input signal to cancel out the unnecessary part in it and thus get the desired response.

Working of the adaptive line enhancer can be understood in a comprehensive way by the following discussion. The purpose of introducing a delay is to de-correlate the noise components of the signal acquired at the two inputsby which a phase difference is created between the sinusoidal components [8]. At the summing junction, the sinusoidal component present in the input signal is cancelled out with the estimated sinusoidal signal. Finally, the output of the filter has the sinusoidal noise component estimated by the filter whereas; the error signal consists of all the components other than the sinusoidal noise. However, it should be clear that the error signal obtained at the ALE 1 stage will consist of clean speech signal and the wideband noise whereas the error signal at the ALE

2 stage will consist of only the wideband noise.

NLMS or Normalized Least Mean Square is a modified form of LMS technique and is used to remove the wide-band noise from the input signal. This modification takes into notice the various fluctuations in the level of signal at the filter's input. After noticing the fluctuations it will select a "normalized step size parameter". This process will make the algorithm a "fast converging adaptation algorithm".

In general, the NLMS algorithm is convergent in the mean square sense if the following condition for adaptation constant μ is satisfied:

 $0 < \tilde{\mu} < 2$

A. Stage I: ALE

ALE is used to cancel out sinusoidal noise from the speech signal. The figure shown below elaborates the basic working of ALE filter.



Figure 3.The Adaptive Line Enhancer (ALE) filter [4]

The input of this filter is a delayed version of the primary signal x(n). The delay is set in such a way so as to de-correlate wideband noise in order to cancel out the sinusoidal noise from the primary signal.

The ALE is used in parallel fashion, named as ALE 1 and ALE 2 stage. The ALE 1 stage acts as a primary sensor, acquiring the non-stationary speech signal [9], corrupted by wideband and sinusoidal noise. Mathematically, the signal x(n) is

$$x(n) = s(n) + \xi_0(n) + \eta_0(n)$$
(6)

Here, s(n) is the speech signal, $\xi 0$ (*n*) is the wideband noise and η_0 (*n*) is the sinusoidal (narrowband) noise. The delay d_1 at the ALE 1 stage is kept such that the speech signal and the wideband noise de-correlates with itself, resulting in the correlation of only the narrowband noise, i.e. $\eta_0(n)$ is estimated close to η_0 ($_n$) using η_0 (n-d $_1$) by the filter weights w(n). Thus, only the sinusoidal noise is cancelled and speech signal along with the wideband noise signal is obtained at the output of ALE 1 stage.

The ALE 2 stage acts as a secondary sensor, acquiring only the noise signal consisting of wideband and sinusoidal noise. The signal at the secondary sensor is given by $v_1(n)$ as

$$v_1(n) = \xi_1(n) + \eta_1(n) \tag{7}$$

Here, $v_1(n)$ is the speech signal at the secondary sensor, $\xi_1(n)$ is the wideband noise and $\eta_1(n)$ is the sinusoidal (narrowband) noise At this stage, the delay d_2 is set to be such that only the sinusoidal component of noise remains correlated with itself, so as to give only the wideband noise $\xi_1(n)$ at the output of ALE 2. Note that the step-size and the filter length chosen for both the stages should be same so as to achieve adaptation convergence of the outputs of ALE 1 and ALE 2 stages at the same time.

B. Stage II: NLMS

The Normalized Least Mean Square (NLMS) algorithm is a modified form of Least Mean Square (LMS) algorithm and Nagumo and Noda were the first ones to introduce it [10]. In this paper, the NLMS filter is used to remove the wideband noise using the signals obtained at the output of the ALE stages. The NLMS filter is preferred over LMS algorithm because of its particular characteristics of faster convergence, besides a lower mean squared error [11]. The NLMS filter as an adaptive noise canceller is shown in figure 4.



Figure 4.Adaptive Noise Canceller [4]

In figure 4, d(n) is the primary input signal having the speech s(n) and wideband noise $\xi_0(n)$ as its components. The input to the NLMS filter is $\xi_1(n)$ which is estimated by the filter weights w(n), to be close to $\xi_0(n)$, so that only the clean speech signal s(n) is obtained as the error signal e(n) that is the final output.

The filter coefficients are updated in NLMS according to [12]

$$\mathbf{w}(n+1) = \mathbf{w}(n) + \frac{\mu}{\|\xi_1(n)\|^{2+\alpha}} e(n)\xi_1(n)$$
(8)

Here, μ is the step-size that controls the convergence speed and stability of the NLMS adaptive filter. In case the input signal is zero at any instant, a small constant α prevents division by zero in (8).

IV. SIMULATION

The simulation is done in real time, in MATLAB Simulink, using the model shown in figure 5.

For the sake of understanding, the diagram is divided into the following stages:

A. Stage 1: ALE

In the first stage we have two sections which are ALE 1 and ALE 2. ALE 1 acts as a primary sensor (microphone) in real time environment and its input is only the corrupted speech signal. ALE 2 acts as a secondary sensor whose input is only the noise signal having both wideband and sinusoidal noise. The output of this stage is fed into stage2 for acquiring the final clean speech at the output.

B. Stage2: NLMS

Using the outputs of stage1 this stage removes the wideband noise and gives a clean speech signal as a final output.

The parameters in the simulation are set in such a way so as to get the best results when simulated in different environments. The results shown in this paper are obtained by using the parameters given in table 1.

Table 1: Parameter settings for real-time results

Stage 1 ALE 1	NLMS Adaptive Algorithm	De-correlation delay d ₁	350 Samples
		Number of Tap Coefficients	200
		Step-sizeµ1	0.001
Stage 1 ALE 2	NLMS Adaptive Algorithm	De-correlation delay d ₂	350 Samples
		Number of Tap Coefficients	200
		Step-sizeµ2	0.001
Stage 2	NLMS Adaptive	Number of Tap Coefficients	310
	Algorithm	Step-sizeµ3	0.025



Figure 5.The MATLAB Simulink model of the Adaptive Noise Cancellation Scheme using ALE and NLMS filters

The model is run using a speech signal of duration of about 5 seconds in real-time at a sampling rate of 8000 Hz.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation model is tested in realtime environment, by acquiring the corrupted speech signal and the noise signal, via a microphone. Figure 6 and 7 show the desired speech signal and the noise signal in the time domain, respectively. From figure 7, it seems that the amplitude of noise signal is quite less, so it will not significantly affect the speech signal, but this is not so. The noise signal, when heard in MATLAB, is of significant amplitude and corrupts the speech signal to a greater extent.

After simulation, the signal obtained in time domain after ALE stage and the NLMS stage, i.e. the final output, is shown in figure 8 and 9, respectively. It is clear from figure 8 that the ALE stage is not successful in removing the wideband noise since the voice is corrupted significantly during the silence period in the speech signal. The residual wideband noise is quite significantly removed from the signal obtained at the output of the NLMS stage, as shown in figure 9. Thus, speech signal is enhanced in clarity and is clearly audible. The simulation results in time domain of the scheme proposed in [4] are compared with the traditional Acoustic Noise Cancellation (ANC) scheme, with employs only the NLMS stage. The results in figure 10 show that the noise is removed appreciably, but the speech signal is attenuated at certain samples, which is in contrast to the results obtained using both ALE and NLMS filters. Thus, the scheme combining the ALE and NLMS filters produces better results than the traditional ANC scheme when used for real-time simulation.

Figure 11-15 shows the spectrograms of results that are shown in figure 6-10. All results are in correspondence with the aforesaid explanation of the results shown in figures 6-10.

VI. APPLICATIONS

Although the results suggest that the implementation of the mentioned scheme is application specific, however, the proposed scheme can be applied successfully in different kinds of environments. Some of them are elaborated below:

A. Propeller Induced Cabin Noise during Flight

During flight, the pilot needs to communicate with the control tower for ensuring safe flying. At times, the engine noise present in the cockpit heavily corrupts the communication because it consists of multiple harmonics that occupy the same frequency band as that of the pilot's voice. The adaptive filter, when applied on a single input, will reduce the overall speech signal strength in order to compensate the effect of noise signal. To recover the pilot's speech effectively, we would need two inputs, one from the pilot's microphone and another from the microphone placed inside the cockpit at a fair distance from the pilot's microphone. The second microphone will catch only the noise signal that corrupts the pilot's speech signal, and thus the two input signals acquired can be used simultaneously to recover pilot's clean speech signal using the scheme mentioned in this paper.

B. ECG Analysis

[6] provides an effective description for removing the sinusoidal noise from the results obtained during ECG analysis. IT is a common observation that during ECG, an unwanted signal of 60 Hz frequency measure creates interference in the output. Such interfering signal can be removed by either technical means or by using adaptive noise cancellation filter techniques. The proposed scheme can also be deployed for solving this problem. The 60 Hz signal can be viewed as a narrowband signal which can be effectively removed by using ALE and NLMS filters' combination to yield better results.

C. Usage in iPhone 4

With the technology and its resulting products at a boom nowadays, importance of effective communication has increased manyfold. iPhones are extremely popular nowadays as a gadget in fashion and a means of carrying the data on the move. In order to ensure clear voice connectivity, the recent model of iPhones, 'iPhone 4' will be deploying the concept of using two input sources to cancel out the noise from speech signal using adaptive filters. The two input sources are identical to the ones deployed in the proposed scheme and thus, the combination of ALE and NLMS filter techniques can be used here to ensure effective voice communication.

D. Car-motor noise during journey by car

The proposed scheme is applicable on all such daily life activities in which a tonal sound or sinusoidal signal is encountered as an interference signal. One such application relates to the presence of car-motor sound during journey by car. Automobiles have become a necessity of our life and are often used for travelling long distances, which are otherwise quite expensive if other means of transportation are used. During such travels, one needs to be free from all kinds of disturbances so as to drive safely. The car-motor often produces a tonal sound that is disturbing sometimes. The proposed scheme can be used for removing the car-motor noise [7] by using ALE in conjunction with NLMS filter. In this scenario, the car-motor composed of sinusoidal signal and its harmonics, will be treated as narrowband noise and will be used for cancelling the sinusoidal noise from the speech signal.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the real-time implementation of the acoustic noise cancellation scheme proposed in [4] using MATLAB Simulink. The speech signal is corrupted by noise having two components; sinusoidal (or narrowband) noise and wideband (or Gaussian) noise. Two stages are employed in order to remove both the noise components. The ALE stage first removes the sinusoidal noise component, both at the primary and the secondary sensor stages, and then the NLMS filter removes the wideband noise from the ALE 1 stage's output signal, thus giving a clean enhanced speech signal at the output. The results are compared with the traditional ANC scheme, operated upon the same corrupted speech signal. The results suggest that ALE and NLMS produce a better clean speech signal as compared to the traditional ANC scheme that uses only the NLMS filter. The results presented in this paper can serve as a benchmark for further research in speech signal processing techniques, in which existing algorithms can be combined in various ways to produce better results for the filtered speech signal.



Figure 6: The desired speech signal







Figure 9: The signal after NLMS stage



Figure 10: Filtered signal obtained using Traditional ANC scheme



Figure 11: Spectrogram of desired speech signal



Figure 12: Spectrogram of noise signal



Figure 13: Spectrogram of signal after ALE1stage



Figure 14: Spectrogram of signal at NLMS



Figure 15.Spectrogram of filtered signal by Traditional ANC scheme

REFERENCES

- G. E. Warnaka, L. Poole and J. Tichy, "Active Attenuator", US Patent number 4, 473, 906 September 25, 1984.
- [2] G.B. Chaplin, "Method and Apparatus for Canceling Vibration", US Patent number 4, 489, 441 December 18, 1984.
- [3] A. Burguess, "Active Sound Control: Adaptive", IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, December 18, 1981.
- [4] Jafar Ramadhan Mohammed, "A New Simple Adaptive Noise Cancellation Scheme Based On ALE and NLMS Filter", Fifth Annual Conference on Communication Networks and Services Research(CNSR'07), IEEE Proceding, 2007.
- [5] S. J. Elliott, P. A. Nelson, I. M. Stothers and C. C. Boucher, "Preliminary results of in-flight experiments on the active control of propeller-induced cabin noise," Journal of Sound and Vibration 128(1989), 355-357.

- [6] S. Haykin, "Adaptive Filter Theory", Third edition, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1996.
- [7] Wolfgang Fohl, Jörn Matthies, Bernd Schwarz, "A FPGA-based adaptive noise cancelling system", Proc. of the 12th Int. Conference on Digital Audio Effects (DAFx-09), Como, Italy, September 1-4, 2009.
- [8] Sanjeev Kumar Dhull, Sandeep K. Arya, and O. P. Sahu, "Performance Comparison of Adaptive Algorithms for Adaptive line Enhancer", IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, Vol. 8, Issue 3, No. 2, May, 2011.
- [9] N. Sasaoka, K. Sumi, Y. Itoh and K. Fujji, "A New Noise Reduction System Based On ALE and Noise Reconstruction Filter", Proc. 2005 ISCAS, pp. 272-275, 2005.
- [10] J. Nagumo and A. Noda, "A learning method for system identification", IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. AC-12, no. 3, pp 282-287, June 1967.
- [11] B. Widrow and S. D. Stearns, Adaptive Signal Processing, Prentice Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1985.
- [12] S. Haykin and T. Kailath, "Adaptive Filter Theory", Pearson Education (Singapore) Ltd., Indian Branch, Fourth Edition, 2003.